FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

AUG 18 2005

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

JUAN DE DIOS MEDINA-HERNANDEZ,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 04-10209

D.C. No. CR-03-00083-DWH/VPC

MEMORANDUM*

ON REMAND FROM THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

Before: KLEINFELD, TASHIMA and GOULD, Circuit Judges.

The Supreme Court vacated our judgment entered October 26, 2004, and remanded for further consideration in light of *United States v. Booker*, 125 S. Ct. 738 (2005).

Medina-Hernandez's Sixth Amendment challenge to his sentencing enhancement, based on a prior aggravated felony conviction, remains foreclosed after *Booker*. *See United States v. Moreno-Hernandez*, No. 03-30387, 2005 WL

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

1560269, *8 n.8 (9th Cir. July 5, 2005) (explaining that a district judge's enhancing a sentence based on the fact of a prior conviction does not raise any Sixth Amendment problems).

Because Medina-Hernandez was sentenced under the then-mandatory

Sentencing Guidelines, and we cannot reliably determine from the record whether
the sentence imposed would have been materially different had the district court
known that the Guidelines were advisory, we remand to the sentencing court to
answer that question, and to proceed pursuant to *United States v. Ameline*, 409

F.3d 1073, 1084 (9th Cir. 2005) (en banc). *See United States v. Moreno-Hernandez*, *id.*, at *9 (extending *Ameline*'s limited remand procedure to cases
involving non-constitutional *Booker* error).

REMANDED.